



**Winston H. Hickox**  
Secretary for  
Environmental  
Protection

# State Water Resources Control Board

---

## Division of Water Quality

901 P Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 657-1108  
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 944213 • Sacramento, California • 94244-2130  
FAX (916) 654-8375 • Internet Address: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov>



**Gray Davis**  
Governor

July 24, 2000

Interested Parties:

### TECHNICAL REVIEW OF DRAFT PROPOSAL FOR A COMPREHENSIVE AMBIENT SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM

On August 10, 2000, the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) will hold a meeting of the AB 982 Scientific Advisory Group (SAG) to review the draft Proposal for a Comprehensive Ambient Surface Water Quality Monitoring Program. The meeting will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the SWRCB's hearing room at 901 P Street, Sacramento. We cordially invite all interested parties to attend the meeting.

The SAG will be asked to look at the proposed plan focusing on the questions contained in the enclosed meeting agenda. Prior to the meeting you may wish to familiarize yourself with the AB 982 activities and the draft proposal for a Comprehensive Ambient Surface Water Quality Monitoring Program by viewing the SWRCB web site (<http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/ab982/index.html>).

If you have any questions regarding the SAG meeting or the AB 982 activities, please call me at (916) 657-1108. You may also contact Gita Kapahi at (916) 657-0883

Sincerely,

Craig J. Wilson, Chief  
Bays and Estuaries Unit  
Division of Water Quality

Enclosure

## **AB 982 SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP**

Technical Workshop  
August 10, 2000  
State Water Resources Control Board  
Hearing Room  
901 P Street  
Sacramento CA

### Agenda

1. Introductions
2. SWRCB staff presentation on the draft Proposal for a Comprehensive Ambient Surface Water Quality Monitoring Program and introduction of focus questions for group discussion
3. Question No. 1- Are the monitoring objectives stated clearly?
4. Question No. 2 - Are the proposed monitoring approaches sufficient to answer the questions posed (i.e., Is it safe to swim? Is it safe to drink the water?, etc.) and achieve the more specific monitoring objectives?
5. Question No. 3 - Are the proposed water quality indicator categories adequate?
6. Question No. 4 - Is the Quality Assurance proposal adequate?
7. Question No. 5 - Are the ranges of cost estimates reasonably accurate?
8. Summary and Wrap up of meeting

Note: 15 minutes breaks and 1 hour lunch will be determined during meeting.